Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/688,863	YOSHII, HISATO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles Goodman	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Appeal Brief filed on</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2,4-6,9-12 and 14-19</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 17 October 2000 are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). 		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amendn 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	nent/Comment ent of Reasons for Allowance RLES GOOD
	? ? !!	MARY EXAMP

Application/Control Number: 09/688,863

Art Unit: 3724

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record do not anticipate nor do they reasonably suggest the cutting machine as claimed in claims 6, 11, and 12. The closest prior art, Witjes (US 6,148,706) teaches a cutting machine comprising many of the claimed elements as noted in the previous Office Actions. However, Witjes lacks a level adjusting mechanism. In that regard, it appears that Neal (US 1,435,252) teaches a level adjusting mechanism as noted in the last Office Action. Upon reconsideration, it would appear that the teachings of Neal would not apply to Witjes due to the fundamental difference in which the workpiece is handled between the two references. In Witjest, the workpiece is fed in a horizontal manner, i.e. where the thickness of the board is measured vertically. In contrast, the workpiece in Neal is fed vertically, i.e. wherein the thickness of the board is measured horizontally. In other words, the features (D, D) identified by the Examiner in the previous rejection function as a guide as opposed to being a vertically adjustable table that supports the workpiece. Moreover, none of the other prior art of record fairly teach or suggest this lacking feature in Witjes. This applies to all the independent claims. Thus, it is believed that the claimed inventions with the lacking feature in combination with all the other recited elements are deemed to be allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/688,863

Art Unit: 3724

Page 3

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM

to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is

encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

December 27, 2004

PIMARLES GOOD